UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,099 04/01/2005		Patrice Bujard	SE/2-22794/A/PCT	2615
³²⁴ JoAnn Villamiz	7590 10/23/200 car	8	EXAMINER	
Ciba Corporation 540 White Plair	on/Patent Department		ABU ALI, SHUANGYI	
P.O. Box 2005	is Koau		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	10591		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/530,099	BUJARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793	

	SHOANGTI ABO ALI	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u>			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b)⊠ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for a	allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
/lown/Allowoped/			
/Jerry A Lorengo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793			

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the newly amended claim would appear to be new matter as the specification provides support for pane-parallelism in the sub-oxides but not silica itself. The specification cited by the applicant discloses that the SiOy (y is less than 2) core has a thickness tolerance as disclosed by the newly amended claim. Second, the newly added amendment changes the scope of the claim. New search and consideration is needed.